

1 E. MARTIN ESTRADA
United States Attorney
2 CAMERON L. SCHROEDER
Assistant United States Attorney
3 Chief, National Security Division
MAXWELL COLL (Cal. Bar No. 312651)
4 Assistant United States Attorney
National Cryptocurrency Enforcement Team
5 Computer Crime and Intellectual Property Section
NISHA CHANDRAN (Cal. Bar No. 325345)
6 Assistant United States Attorney
Cyber & Intellectual Property Crimes Section
7 1500 United States Courthouse
312 North Spring Street
8 Los Angeles, California 90012
Telephone: (213) 894-1785/2429
9 Facsimile: (213) 894-1042
E-mail: maxwell.coll@usdoj.gov
10 nisha.chandran@usdoj.gov

11 Attorneys for Plaintiff
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

12 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

13 FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

14 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

15 Plaintiff,

16 v.

17 LU ZHANG,
18 aka "Nikki,"
JOSEPH WONG,
19 JUSTIN WALKER, and
HAILONG ZHU,
20

21 Defendants.

No. CR 2:23-00596-RGK

[PROPOSED] ORDER CONTINUING TRIAL
DATE AND FINDINGS REGARDING
EXCLUDABLE TIME PERIODS PURSUANT
TO SPEEDY TRIAL ACT

[PROPOSED] TRIAL DATE: 09-17-2024

22 The Court has read and considered the Stipulation Regarding
23 Request for (1) Continuance of Trial Date and (2) Findings of
24 Excludable Time Periods Pursuant to Speedy Trial Act, filed by the
25 parties in this matter on January 26, 2024. The Court hereby finds
26 that the Stipulation, which this Court incorporates by reference into
27 this Order, demonstrates facts that support a continuance of the
28

1 trial date in this matter, and provides good cause for a finding of
2 excludable time pursuant to the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161.

3 The Court further finds that: (i) the ends of justice served by
4 the continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and
5 defendant in a speedy trial; (ii) failure to grant the continuance
6 would be likely to make a continuation of the proceeding impossible,
7 or result in a miscarriage of justice; and (iii) failure to grant the
8 continuance would unreasonably deny defendant continuity of counsel
9 and would deny defense counsel the reasonable time necessary for
10 effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due
11 diligence.

12 THEREFORE, FOR GOOD CAUSE SHOWN:

13 1. The trial in this matter is continued from February 6,
14 2024, to September 17, 2024.

15 2. The time period of February 6, 2024 to September 17, 2024,
16 inclusive, is excluded in computing the time within which the trial
17 must commence, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(7)(A), (h)(7)(B)(i),
18 and (B)(iv).

19 3. Defendants shall appear in Courtroom 850 of the Roybal
20 Federal Building and U.S. Courthouse, 255 East Temple Street, Los
21 Angeles, California 90012, on September 17, 2024, at 9:00 a.m.

22 4. Nothing in this Order shall preclude a finding that other
23 provisions of the Speedy Trial Act dictate that additional time
24 periods are excluded from the period within which trial must

25 //

26 //

27 //

28 //

1 commence. Moreover, the same provisions and/or other provisions of
2 the Speedy Trial Act may in the future authorize the exclusion of
3 additional time periods from the period within which trial must
4 commence.

5 IT IS SO ORDERED.

6
7 _____
8 DATE

HONORABLE R. GARY KLAUSNER
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

9
10
11 Presented by:

12 _____
13 /s/ Maxwell Coll
MAXWELL COLL
Assistant United States Attorney